UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,813	12/30/2003	Juha Marila	915-008.017	9367	
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			EXAMINER		
			AUGUSTINE, NICHOLAS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2179		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/749,	813	MARILA ET AL.		
		Examin	er	Art Unit		
		NICHOL	AS AUGUSTINE	2179		
T. Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commu eply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress	
A SHOR' WHICHE - Extension after SIX ( - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE M s of time may be available under the provisions 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of for reply is specified above, the maximum reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION Event, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froupplication to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this of IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a)⊠ Th 3)⊡ Sir	sponsive to communication(s) file is action is <b>FINAL</b> . ace this application is in condition sed in accordance with the pract	2b)☐ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matters, p		e merits is	
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)	•	are withdrawn from o				
10)☐ The App Re	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are blicant may not request that any objected the drawing sheet(s) including the placement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the drawing sheet is objected to be sheet in the drawing sheet is objected to be sheet in the drawing sheet is objected the drawing sheet is obje	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing(sg the correction is requ	) be held in abeyance. So uired if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C		
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2179

### **DETAILED ACTION**

A. This action is in response to the following communications: Amendment filed: 11/24/2008. This action is made **Final**.

B. Claims 1-29 remain pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Dostie et al. (US Pub 2004/0021691).

As to independent claims 1 and 12, Dostie teaches a device (e.g. method, device, etc), for inputting, comprising: a display (fig. 1); and a memory (fig. 1) comprising a first set of characters of a character set (par.138; default view upon system start up is a English character set laid out on a keyboard), said first set of characters comprising at least two characters (par.138 and 198), and a second set of characters of said character set (fig.

3, highlighted characters "TYSD"; par [0080], lines 12-21; is a separate set of characters), said second set of characters comprising at least two characters (fig. 3), wherein the characters in the first set of characters are statistically more likely to be selected in successive order than the characters in the second set of characters independently of user input (par.64-65; par.85; in paragraph 138 it is explained of the English set being displayed with the rapid navigation not turned on (second set/ highlighted character set)); wherein said display is configured to display, for selection of which character to input, the first set of characters only (par.64; wherein the characters of the first set are distinguished separately from the second set; distinguishing in a variety of ways such as (1): highlighting/visual attribute and (2) being displayed in a list separate from gwerty graphic keyboard; par.103).

As to dependent claims 2, 13 and 22, Dostie teaches the device is adapted to select any desired one of the displayed characters if said desired character exists in the displayed first set of characters (fig. 3).

As to dependent claims 3, 14 and 23, Dostie, it appears that if the desired character is not in the displayed first set, the system would display more of the remaining character for selection (par.64).

As to dependent claims 4, 15 and 24, In light of the rejection set forth in claim 3, user may select any desired one of the displayed characters if said desired character exists

Art Unit: 2179

in the displayed second set of characters (par.64).

As to dependent claim 5, Dostie teaches the device of claim 1 comprising a character set switch for replacing the currently displayed set of characters with another set of characters (par.80; changing character sets. par.64; changing set of characters on display of graphic keyboard).

As claim to dependents 6, 17 and 25, Dostie does not specifically in detail mention the device is adapted to cluster, on the display for selection, characters within the first set of characters, so that characters that are statistically more likely to be selected in successive order appear closer to each other than characters that are statistically less likely to be selected in successive order (par.103; shows how characters are arranged in a list which represents set of characters from first set of characters).

As to dependent claims 7, 18 and 26, Dostie teaches the device is adapted to display the characters in the first set of characters on the display in QWERTY-format (fig.3; par.3).

As to dependent claims 8, 19 and 27, Dostie does not specifically in detail teach the device is adapted to display the characters in the first set of characters on the display in alphabetical order (figure 29; list of characters "d,k,n,r,v" are in alphabetical order).

Art Unit: 2179

As to dependent claim 9, Dostie further teaches the display is a touch-sensitive display (par [0079], lines 1-6).

As to dependent claims 10 and 16, Dostie further teaches the first set of characters and the second set of characters are based on a specific language used for inputting information (par [0080]).

As to dependent claims 11, 20 and 28, Dostie further teaches the device is embodied as a mobile terminal for a mobile telecommunications system (par [0073], lines 13-20).

As to independent claim 21 (Current Amended), Dostie teaches a computer program product comprising program code stored in a memory (fig. 1, label 16, par [0067], lines 6-20) for generating a virtual keyboard on a display (par [0064], lines 15-24), when said program code is executed by a processor (fig. 1, labels 12, 26; par [0069], lines 1-7) the program code comprising: for defining a first set of characters of a character set comprising at least two characters (par.138; default keyboard having English language character set); for defining a second set of characters of a character set comprising at least two characters (fig. 3, highlighted characters "TYSD"; par [0080], lines 12-21), wherein the characters of the first set of characters are statistically more likely to be selected in successive order than the characters of the second set of characters independently of user input (par.64-65; par.85; in paragraph 138 it is explained of the English set being displayed with the rapid navigation not turned on (second set/

Art Unit: 2179

highlighted character set); program code for displaying for selection of which character to input, the first set of characters only on the display (par.64; wherein the characters of the first set are distinguished separately from the second set; distinguishing in a variety of ways such as (1): highlighting/visual attribute and (2) being displayed in a list separate from qwerty graphic keyboard; par.103).

As to independent claim 29, Dostie teaches a device for inputting information (fig. 1), comprising; means for displaying characters (fig. 1); and means for storing a first set of characters (fig. 1), said first set of characters of a character set comprising at least two characters (par.138; default keyboard having English language character set), and a second set of characters of said character set, said second set of characters comprising at least two characters (fig. 3, highlighted characters "TYSD"; par [0080], lines 12-21), wherein the characters in the first set of characters are statistically more likely to be selected in successive order than the characters in the second set of characters independently of user input (par.64-65; par.85; in paragraph 138 it is explained of the English set being displayed with the rapid navigation not turned on (second set/ highlighted character set); wherein the display is configured to display, for selection of which character to input, the first set of characters only (par.64).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/749,813

Art Unit: 2179

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 6, 17 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dostie in view Pu et al. (US Patent 7,152,213), hereinafter "Pu".

As claim to dependents 6, 17 and 25, Dostie does not specifically in detail mention the device is adapted to cluster, on the display for selection, characters within the first set of characters, so that characters that are statistically more likely to be selected in successive order appear closer to each other than characters that are statistically less likely to be selected in successive order;

However, in the same field of virtual keyboard input (Pu: col. 10, lines 55-57), Pu teaches the device is adapted to cluster, on the display for selection, characters within the first set of characters, so that characters that are statistically more likely to be

Application/Control Number: 10/749,813

Art Unit: 2179

selected in successive order appear closer to each other than characters that are statistically less likely to be selected in successive order (col. 4, lines 29-38; col. 2, lines 50-63; fig. 7A-7C; col. 9, lines 29-40).

Page 8

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dostie by the device is adapted to cluster, on the display for selection, characters within the first set of characters, so that characters that are statistically more likely to be selected in successive order appear closer to each other than characters that are statistically less likely to be selected in successive order as taught by Pu in order to provide an improved user interface used to input data without the use of a standard keyboard were the data that is entered is selected from a predefined list or group determining by the relative frequency of each valid selection in the predefined list and presenting those valid selections with the highest frequency in a position that minimizes the number keystrokes required for data entry (Pu: col. 2, lines 46-57).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/24/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

<sup>(</sup>Note:) It is noted that any citation to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. In re Heck, 699 F.2d 1331, 1332-33, 216 USPQ 1038, 1039 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (quoting In re Lemelson, 397 F.2d 1006,1009, 158 USPQ 275, 277 (CCPA 1968)).

Application/Control Number: 10/749,813

Art Unit: 2179

After careful review of the amended claims (given the broadest interpretation) and the remarks provided by the Applicant along with the cited reference(s) the Examiner does not agree with the Applicant for at least the reasons provided below:

Page 9

- A1. Applicant argues that X does not teach wherein the characters in the first set of characters are statistically more likely to be selected in successive order than "he characters in the second set of characters *independently of user input*. (Emphasis added).
- R1. Examiner does not agree, in paragraphs 138 and 198 of X it is evident that there is displayed a default view, graphical keyboard, displayed on the screen upon start of the application/system thus no user input has taken place and the first set of characters are displayed without user input as suggested by the Applicant. Further as described in the specification that there is a form of user input to obtain a display of the second set of characters in such is the same with X, wherein the user inputs a request to the system to start rapid navigation the second set of characters will then be displayed it is only then that user input is required to see the second set. With this understanding it is clear to see that X teaches the immediate claim language as written "wherein the characters in the first set of characters are statistically more likely to be selected in successive order than "he characters in the second set of characters independently of user input"; (default/ startup view of system). The Examiner also would like to note the arguments pertaining to the use of common English language diagrams, in which the current claim language argued against does not expressly have limitations which pertaining to common English language diagrams.

Art Unit: 2179

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Inquires

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Augustine whose telephone number is 571-270-1056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday: 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 571-272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2179

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nicholas Augustine/ Examiner Art Unit 2179 2/12/2009

/Ba Huynh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2179